

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-20 are now in the application. By this Amendment, claims 1 and 3-7, and 9-20 have been amended to comply with formal requirements. The claim recitation “making use of” has been replaced by “exploiting” to improve the form of the claims. No new matter has been added.

Applicants appreciate the indication at page 3 of the Office Action that claims 2-20 are reciting allowable subject matter. Further, Applicants respectfully submit that the amendments to claim 1 herein bring the application into condition for allowance.

Claims 4, 9, and 10 are objected to for being substantial duplicates of claim 1. Applicants respectfully submit that the amendment to step f) in claim 1 obviates this objection.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, because the claim features heavy plastics, washing solution, granular plastics, and thin plastics are considered to lack antecedent basis. Claim 1 has been amended to obviate this rejection.

In view of the above amendment, Applicants believe the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Application No. 10/589,282  
Amendment dated March 2, 2010  
Reply to Office Action of December 2, 2010

Docket No.: 20679-00225-US1

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 22-0185, under Order No. 20679-00225-US1 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: March 2, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

Electronic signature: /Georg M. Hasselmann/  
Georg M. Hasselmann  
Registration No.: 62,324  
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP  
1875 Eye Street, NW  
Suite 1100  
Washington, DC 20006  
(202) 331-7111  
(202) 293-6229 (Fax)  
Attorney for Applicant